What I Tell My Patients: Integrating New Research Information into Current Clinical Care

A Complimentary NCPD Hybrid Symposium Series Held During the 49th Annual ONS Congress

Hepatobiliary Cancers

Saturday, April 27, 2024 6:00 AM – 7:30 AM

Faculty

Blanca Ledezma, MSN, NP, AOCNP Stacey Stein, MD Amanda K Wagner, APRN-CNP, AOCNP Mark Yarchoan, MD Moderator Neil Love, MD



Faculty



Blanca Ledezma, MSN, NP, AOCNP UCLA Santa Monica Hematology/Oncology Santa Monica, California



Stacey Stein, MD Associate Professor of Medicine Assistant Medical Director of the Clinical Trials Office Yale Cancer Center Yale School of Medicine New Haven, Connecticut



Mark Yarchoan, MD Associate Professor of Medical Oncology Johns Hopkins Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center Baltimore, Maryland



Moderator Neil Love, MD Research To Practice Miami, Florida



Amanda K Wagner, APRN-CNP, AOCNP GI Malignancies The James Cancer Hospital The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio



Ms Ledezma — Disclosures

Speakers Bureaus	AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Lilly
------------------	---------------------------------------



Dr Stein — Disclosures

Advisory Committees	AbbVie Inc, Eisai Inc, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group
Consulting Agreements	AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Merck
Data and Safety Monitoring Boards/ Committees	Aethlon Medical Inc, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group



Ms Wagner — Disclosures

No relevant conflicts of interest to disclose



Dr Yarchoan — Disclosures

Consulting Agreements	AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Eisai Inc, Exelixis Inc, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group
Co-Founder with Equity	Adventris Pharmaceuticals
Research Funding (to Johns Hopkins)	Bristol Myers Squibb, Exelixis Inc, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, Incyte Corporation



Commercial Support

This activity is supported by educational grants from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Incyte Corporation, and Taiho Oncology Inc.

Research To Practice NCPD Planning Committee Members, Staff and Reviewers

Planners, scientific staff and independent reviewers for Research To Practice have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.



This educational activity contains discussion of non-FDA-approved uses of agents and regimens. Please refer to official prescribing information for each product for approved indications.



Clinicians in the Meeting Room

Networked iPads are available.



Review Program Slides: Tap the Program Slides button to review speaker presentations and other program content.



Answer Survey Questions: Complete the pre- and postmeeting surveys. Survey questions will be discussed throughout the meeting.



Ask a Question: Tap Ask a Question to submit a challenging case or question for discussion. We will aim to address as many questions as possible during the program.



For assistance, please raise your hand. Devices will be collected at the conclusion of the activity.

Clinicians Attending via Zoom

|--|

Review Program Slides: A link to the program slides will be posted in the chat room at the start of the program.



Answer Survey Questions: Complete the pre- and postmeeting surveys. Survey questions will be discussed throughout the meeting.



Ask a Question: Submit a challenging case or question for discussion using the Zoom chat room.



Get NCPD Credit: An NCPD credit link will be provided in the chat room at the conclusion of the program.



Clinicians, Please Complete the Pre- and Postmeeting Surveys





About the Enduring Program

- The live meeting is being video and audio recorded.
- The proceedings from today will be edited and developed into an enduring web-based video/PowerPoint program.



An email will be sent to all attendees when the activity is available.

 To learn more about our education programs, visit our website, <u>www.ResearchToPractice.com</u>



"What I Tell My Patients" Sixteenth Annual RTP-ONS NCPD Symposium Series

Wednesday April 24	Hormone Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer 6:00 PM - 8:00 PM ET	
Thursday April 25	Endometrial Cancer 6:00 AM - 7:30 AM ET	
	Antibody-Drug Conjugates 12:15 PM - 1:45 PM ET	
	Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia and Bispecific Antibodies in Lymphoma 6:00 PM – 8:00 PM ET	
Friday April 26	Head and Neck Cancer 6:00 AM - 7:30 AM ET	
	Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer with an EGFR Mutation 12:15 PM - 1:45 PM ET	
	Ovarian Cancer 6:00 PM – 7:30 PM ET	
Saturday April 27	Hepatobiliary Cancers 6:00 AM - 7:30 AM ET	
	Myelofibrosis 12:15 PM – 1:45 PM ET	
	Gastroesophageal and Colorectal Cancers 6:00 PM - 8:00 PM ET	
Wednesday, May 1	LIVE WEBINAR — Prostate Cancer 7:00 PM - 8:00 PM ET	



Consulting Nurse Faculty



Jacqueline Broadway-Duren, PhD, DNP, APRN, FNP-BC The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas



Kathleen D Burns, RN, MSN, AGACNP-BC, OCN City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center Duarte, California



Sonia Glennie, ARNP, MSN, OCN Swedish Cancer Institute Center for Blood Disorders Seattle, Washington



Amy Goodrich, CRNP The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center Baltimore, Maryland



Jessica Mitchell, APRN, CNP, MPH Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science Rochester, Minnesota



Tiffany A Richards, PhD, ANP-BC, AOCNP The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas



Kimberly A Spickes, MNSc, RN, APRN, OCN, ACNP-BC University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Little Rock, Arkansas



Ronald Stein, JD, MSN, NP-C, AOCNP USC Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center Los Angeles, California

https://www.ResearchToPractice.com/ONS2024Clips



What I Tell My Patients: Integrating New Research Information into Current Clinical Care

A Complimentary NCPD Hybrid Symposium Series Held During the 49th Annual ONS Congress

Hepatobiliary Cancers

Saturday, April 27, 2024 6:00 AM – 7:30 AM

Faculty

Blanca Ledezma, MSN, NP, AOCNP Stacey Stein, MD Amanda K Wagner, APRN-CNP, AOCNP Mark Yarchoan, MD Moderator Neil Love, MD



Agenda

Introduction

Part 1: Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Part 2: Biliary Tract Cancers



Agenda

Introduction

Part 1: Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Part 2: Biliary Tract Cancers



Consulting Nursing Faculty Comments

Psychosocial impact, self-advocacy and spirituality



Kimberly A Spickes, MNSc, RN, APRN, OCN, ACNP-BC



Agenda

Introduction

Part 1: Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)

- Adjuvant Therapy for Early-Stage HCC
- Role of Immunotherapy in Intermediate-Stage HCC
- First-Line Therapy for Advanced HCC

Part 2: Biliary Tract Cancers



Agenda

Introduction

Part 1: Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)

- Adjuvant Therapy for Early-Stage HCC
- Role of Immunotherapy in Intermediate-Stage HCC
- First-Line Therapy for Advanced HCC

Part 2: Biliary Tract Cancers





New Haven, Connecticut

The Current and Future Use of Adjuvant Systemic Therapy for Early-Stage Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)



Dr Yarchoan Baltimore, Maryland

- Long-term outcomes with traditional treatment approaches for early-stage HCC; clinical features that impart a high risk of disease recurrence
- Historical data with adjuvant therapeutic approaches, such as sorafenib, for patients with HCC
- Design, eligibility criteria and key endpoints of the Phase III IMbrave050 study of atezolizumab in combination with bevacizumab for patients with high-risk HCC after curative resection or ablation
- Improved recurrence-free survival with adjuvant atezolizumab/bevacizumab compared to active surveillance in the IMbrave050 trial; clinical and research implications
- Other ongoing Phase III studies evaluating adjuvant immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy for HCC





What I tell my patients with earlier-stage HCC about the goals of adjuvant therapy and what to expect from it



IMbrave050 Primary Endpoint: Recurrence-Free Survival by Independent Assessment





Agenda

Introduction

Part 1: Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)

- Adjuvant Therapy for Early-Stage HCC
- Role of Immunotherapy in Intermediate-Stage HCC
- First-Line Therapy for Advanced HCC

Part 2: Biliary Tract Cancers





Dr Stein New Haven, Connecticut

The Potential Role of Immunotherapeutic Strategies for Intermediate-Stage HCC



Dr Yarchoan Baltimore, Maryland

- Historical outcomes associated with locoregional treatments, such as transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), for patients with intermediate-stage HCC
- Design, eligibility criteria and key efficacy and safety endpoints of the Phase III EMERALD-1 trial comparing durvalumab in combination with TACE with or without bevacizumab to TACE alone for patients with locoregional HCC not amenable to curative therapy
- Recently presented findings indicating a progression-free survival advantage with durvalumab, TACE and bevacizumab compared to TACE alone in the EMERALD-1 trial
- Potential clinical role of durvalumab with TACE and bevacizumab in therapy for intermediate-stage HCC





What I tell my patients with intermediate-stage HCC who are about to undergo TACE and those being considered for or enrolling on a trial evaluating TACE in combination with immunotherapy with or without bevacizumab



ASCO[•] Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium

Abstract LBA432

EMERALD-1: a Phase 3, randomized, placebocontrolled study of transarterial chemoembolization combined with durvalumab with or without bevacizumab in participants with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma eligible for embolization

Riccardo Lencioni^{*1}, Masatoshi Kudo², Joseph Erinjeri³, Shukui Qin⁴, Zhenggang Ren⁵, Stephen L Chan⁶, Yasuaki Arai⁷, Jeong Heo⁸, Anh Mai⁹, Jose Escobar¹⁰, Yamil Alonso Lopez Chuken¹¹, Jung-Hwan Yoon¹², Won Young Tak¹³, Tanita Suttichaimongkol¹⁴, Mohamed Bouattour¹⁵, Shi-Ming Lin¹⁶, Magdalena Żotkiewicz¹⁷, Stephanie Udoye¹⁸, Gordon J Cohen¹⁸, **Bruno Sangro^{*19}**



EMERALD-1: Phase III Study Design



TACE = transarterial chemoembolization



EMERALD-1: Primary Endpoint Progression-Free Survival (PFS) with Durvalumab/Bevacizumab (D+B) and TACE Compared to Placebos and TACE





EMERALD-1: Most Common Grade 3 or 4 Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (AE)

AE, n (%)	D + TACE (n=232)	D+B + TACE (n=154)	Placebos + TACE (n=200)
Hypertension	5 (2.2)	9 (5.8)	1 (0.5)
Anemia	10 (4.3)	7 (4.5)	3 (1.5)
Acute kidney injury	4 (1.7)	6 (3.9)	0
Proteinuria	0	6 (3.9)	0
Post-embolization syndrome	8 (3.4)	5 (3.2)	8 (4.0)
Hepatic encephalopathy	1 (0.4)	5 (3.2)	3 (1.5)
Ascites	4 (1.7)	4 (2.6)	3 (1.5)
Hyponatremia	1 (0.4)	4 (2.6)	0
Esophageal varices hemorrhage	0	4 (2.6)	1 (0.5)



EMERALD-1: Author Conclusions

- EMERALD-1 met the primary endpoint and is the first, global Phase 3 study to demonstrate a statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement in PFS with an immunotherapy and TACE-based regimen in unresectable HCC eligible for embolization
 - Median PFS was 15.0 months with D+B + TACE and 8.2 months with placebos + TACE
 - PFS HR was 0.77, p=0.032
- PFS benefit with D+B + TACE was generally consistent across key clinical subgroups
- The safety profile was manageable and consistent with the known safety profiles of TACE, durvalumab, and bevacizumab in unresectable HCC

Durvalumab plus **bevacizumab** in combination with **TACE** has the potential to set a new standard of care in **unresectable HCC eligible for embolization**



Agenda

Introduction

Part 1: Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)

- Adjuvant Therapy for Early-Stage HCC
- Role of Immunotherapy in Intermediate-Stage HCC
- First-Line Therapy for Advanced HCC

Part 2: Biliary Tract Cancers





First-Line Therapy for Advanced HCC

Dr Stein New Haven, Connecticut

Dr Yarchoan Baltimore, Maryland

- Biological rationale for combining anti-PD-1/PD-L1 and anti-VEGF antibodies for advanced HCC
- Long-term data with atezolizumab/bevacizumab as first-line therapy for unresectable HCC
- Selection of patients for up-front treatment with atezolizumab/bevacizumab
- Role of atezolizumab/bevacizumab in therapy for patients who would not have qualified for participation in the pivotal trial, such as those with Child-Pugh B disease
- Rationale for the combination of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies with anti-CTLA-4 antibodies for advanced HCC





First-Line Therapy for Advanced HCC

Dr Stein New Haven, Connecticut

Dr Yarchoan Baltimore, Maryland

- Long-term efficacy and safety outcomes, including updated overall survival results, reported with durvalumab/tremelimumab for previously untreated advanced HCC
- Advantages and disadvantages of dual immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy compared to other evidence-based front-line options for unresectable HCC
- Selection of patients for up-front durvalumab/tremelimumab



Mechanism of Action of Atezolizumab and Bevacizumab





Atezolizumab/Bevacizumab Regimen

Mechanism of action

- PD-L1 inhibitor
- Anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody

Indication in HCC

 For patients with unresectable or metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) who have not received prior systemic therapy

Recommended dose in HCC

- Atezolizumab: 840 mg IV q2wk, 1,200 mg IV q3wk or 1,680 mg IV q4wk
- Bevacizumab: 15 mg/kg IV q3wk

Key issues

• Screening for esophageal varices

Atezolizumab package insert, 4/2024.




Dr Stein New Haven, Connecticut

Toxicities and Other Practical Considerations with Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor-Based Regimens for HCC



Dr Yarchoan Baltimore, Maryland

- Spectrum, incidence and severity of immune-related adverse events (AEs) and other toxicities observed with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies for HCC
- Effects on tolerability of administering anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies in combination with other systemic therapies, such as anti-angiogenic agents or anti-CTLA-4 antibodies, for HCC
- Algorithms for monitoring and managing immune-related and other AEs with immune checkpoint inhibitors for patients with HCC
- Recommended dosing schedules for up-front atezolizumab/bevacizumab and durvalumab/tremelimumab
- Role, if any, for rechallenging with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy after relapse on first-line atezolizumab/bevacizumab or durvalumab/tremelimumab



Amanda K Wagner, APRN-CNP, AOCNP



What I tell my patients with advanced HCC who are about to start first-line therapy with durvalumab/tremelimumab



Mechanism of Action of Combined PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA-4 Inhibitors





Chae YK et al. *J Immuno Ther* 2018;6(1):39.

Durvalumab/Tremelimumab Regimen

Mechanism of action

- PD-L1 inhibitor
- CTLA-4 inhibitor

Indication in HCC

• For adult patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)

Recommended dose in HCC

- Tremelimumab: Single priming dose of 300 mg (if weight 30 kg and more); single priming dose of 4 mg/kg (if weight less than 30 kg)
- Durvalumab: 1,500 mg Cycle 1/Day 1, followed by 1,500 mg as a single agent every 4 weeks (if weight 30 kg and more); 20 mg/kg Cycle 1/Day 1, followed by 20mg/kg as a single agent every 4 weeks (if weight less than 30 kg)



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Four-year overall survival update from the phase III HIMALAYA study of tremelimumab plus durvalumab in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma

B. Sangro^{1*}, S. L. Chan², R. K. Kelley³, G. Lau⁴, M. Kudo⁵, W. Sukeepaisarnjaroen⁶, M. Yarchoan⁷, E. N. De Toni⁸, J. Furuse⁹, Y. K. Kang¹⁰, P. R. Galle¹¹, L. Rimassa^{12,13}, A. Heurgué¹⁴, V. C. Tam¹⁵, T. Van Dao¹⁶, S. C. Thungappa¹⁷, V. Breder¹⁸, Y. Ostapenko¹⁹, M. Reig²⁰, M. Makowsky²¹, M. J. Paskow²², C. Gupta²³, J. F. Kurland²¹, A. Negro²¹ & G. K. Abou-Alfa^{24,25,26}, for the HIMALAYA investigators[†]

Ann Oncol 2024 February 19;[Online ahead of print].



HIMALAYA 4-Year Updated Analysis: Overall Survival (OS) with STRIDE versus Sorafenib



STRIDE = 300 mg of tremelimumab (1 dose) and 1,500 mg of durvalumab every 4 weeks

Sangro B et al. Ann Oncol 2024 February 19;[Online ahead of print].

CheckMate 9DW Trial Evaluating Nivolumab with Ipilimumab Meets Primary Endpoint of Overall Survival for the First-Line Treatment of Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma Press Release – March 20, 2024

"The Phase 3 CheckMate-9DW trial evaluating nivolumab plus ipilimumab as a first-line treatment for patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) who have not received prior systemic therapy met its primary endpoint of improved overall survival (OS) compared to investigator's choice of sorafenib or lenvatinib at a prespecified interim analysis.

The dual immunotherapy combination of nivolumab plus ipilimumab demonstrated a statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement in OS compared to investigator's choice of sorafenib or lenvatinib. The safety profile for the combination of nivolumab plus ipilimumab remained consistent with previously reported data and was manageable with established protocols, with no new safety signals identified."



Agenda

Introduction

Part 1: Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Part 2: Biliary Tract Cancers (BTCs)

- Immunotherapy in the Management of Advanced BTCs
- Biomarker Testing Recommendations and the Use of FGFR Inhibitors for Advanced Cholangiocarcinoma
- Potential Role of HER2-Targeted Therapy for BTCs



Agenda

Introduction

Part 1: Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Part 2: Biliary Tract Cancers (BTCs)

- Immunotherapy in the Management of Advanced BTCs
- Biomarker Testing Recommendations and the Use of FGFR Inhibitors for Advanced Cholangiocarcinoma
- Potential Role of HER2-Targeted Therapy for BTCs





The Role of Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Antibodies in the Management of Advanced BTCs



Dr Stein New Haven, Connecticut **Dr Yarchoan** Baltimore, Maryland

- Long-term outcomes achieved with historical approaches to up-front treatment for advanced BTCs
- Pivotal findings with the addition of durvalumab to first-line chemotherapy for advanced BTCs
- Published results with pembrolizumab combined with cisplatin/gemcitabine as first-line treatment for advanced BTCs
- Optimal integration into practice of up-front chemoimmunotherapeutic strategies for patients with advanced BTCs



Durvalumab

Mechanism of action

• PD-L1 inhibitor

Indication in BTC

• In combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin for locally advanced or metastatic biliary tract cancer (BTC)

Recommended dose in BTC

- Weight ≥30 kg: 1,500 mg every 3 weeks in combination with chemotherapy, and then 1,500 mg every 4 weeks as a single agent
- Weight <30 kg: 20 mg/kg every 3 weeks in combination with chemotherapy, and then 20 mg/kg every 4 weeks as a single agent



Pembrolizumab

Mechanism of action

• PD-1 inhibitor

Indication in BTC

• In combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin, for the treatment of patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic biliary tract cancer (BTC)

Recommended dose in BTC

• 200 mg every 3 weeks or 400 mg every 6 weeks





What I tell my patients with newly diagnosed metastatic biliary tract cancer who are about to receive chemoimmunotherapy



Consulting Nursing Faculty Comments

Patient adherence to therapy, dose reductions, and oral medication conversion



Sonia Glennie, ARNP, MSN, OCN



Agenda

Introduction

Part 1: Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Part 2: Biliary Tract Cancers (BTCs)

- Immunotherapy in the Management of Advanced BTCs
- Biomarker Testing Recommendations and the Use of FGFR Inhibitors for Advanced Cholangiocarcinoma
- Potential Role of HER2-Targeted Therapy for BTCs





The Importance of Biomarker Testing in the Care of Patients with Advanced BTCs



Dr Stein New Haven, Connecticut **Dr Yarchoan** Baltimore, Maryland

- Spectrum and clinical relevance of genomic aberrations in patients with advanced BTCs
- Prevalence of targetable molecular alterations in various BTCs: Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma versus extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma versus gallbladder cancer
- Available platforms for and optimal timing of genomic evaluation
- Variable capacity of genetic testing methods to accurately identify different abnormalities



Key Targets in Biliary Tract Cancers





LaPelusa M et al. *Chin Clin Oncol* 2023 April;12(2):14.

Therapeutic Targets and Approach to Molecular Profiling for Biliary Tract Cancers

Target	Frequency	Targeted agents	Molecular test
IDH1	13% of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas	Ivosidenib	Tumor next-generation DNA sequencing or targeted sequencing for hotspot mutations in coding region of IDH1
FGFR pathway	20% of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas	Erdafitinib; futibatinib; infigratinib; pemigatinib	Tumor next-generation DNA sequencing including FGFR2 intronic region, targeted RNAseq or FISH testing for FGFR2 translocation
BRAF	5% of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas	Dabrafenib with trametinib; vemurafenib	Tumor next-generation DNA sequencing or targeted sequencing for hotspot mutations in coding region of BRAF
MSI-high or MMR deficiency	2% of biliary tract cancers	Pembrolizumab	Multiple testing modalities available: PCR, immunohistochemistry or tumor next-generation DNA sequencing
ERBB2 (HER2)	15%-20% gallbladder cancer; extrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas	Trastuzumab deruxtecan	Multiple testing modalities available including immunohistochemistry and FISH for expression and amplification, tumor next-generation DNA sequencing for mutations

FISH = fluorescence in situ hybridization



Valle JW et al. Lancet 2021;397(10272):428-44.



The Role of FGFR Inhibitors in the Management of Advanced Cholangiocarcinoma

Dr Stein New Haven, Connecticut

Dr Yarchoan Baltimore, Maryland

- Mechanistic similarities and differences between the approved FGFR inhibitors pemigatinib and futibatinib for advanced cholangiocarcinoma
- Principal findings with pemigatinib and with futibatinib for previously treated, unresectable locally advanced or metastatic cholangiocarcinoma with an FGFR2 fusion or other rearrangement
- Evidence-based selection and sequencing of pemigatinib and futibatinib for FGFR-altered cholangiocarcinoma
- Ongoing Phase III trials evaluating FGFR inhibition for patients with treatmentnaïve cholangiocarcinoma





Tolerability and Other Considerations with FGFR Inhibitors for Cholangiocarcinoma



Dr Stein New Haven, Connecticut

Dr Yarchoan Baltimore, Maryland

- Comparative tolerability profiles of the approved FGFR inhibitors for cholangiocarcinoma; implications for therapeutic selection
- Pathophysiology of the ocular toxicities observed with pemigatinib and futibatinib; monitoring and management protocols
- Incidence and management of other common AEs reported with pemigatinib and futibatinib, such as hyperphosphatemia, nail changes, stomatitis, hand-foot syndrome and dry skin
- Role, if any, of rechallenging with an FGFR inhibitor for patients who have experienced disease progression on FGFR inhibitor therapy





What I tell my patients with metastatic biliary tract cancer and an FGFR alteration about the mechanism of action of and side effects and tolerability issues with the FGFR inhibitors pemigatinib and futibatinib



FGFR Inhibitors Mechanism of Action





Zugman M et al. Front Oncol 2022;12:860453.

Futibatinib

Mechanism of action

FGFR inhibitor

Indication

 For patients with previously treated, unresectable locally advanced or metastatic intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma harboring FGFR2 gene fusions or other rearrangements

Recommended dose

• 20 mg orally (five 4-mg tablets) once daily with or without food until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity



FOENIX-CCA2: A Phase II Study of Futibatinib for Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma with FGFR2 Fusions/Rearrangements



Median PFS: 9.0 mos Median OS: 21.7 mos



Pemigatinib

Mechanism of action

FGFR inhibitor

Indication

 For patients with previously treated, unresectable locally advanced or metastatic cholangiocarcinoma harboring FGFR2 fusions or other rearrangements

Recommended dose

 13.5 mg orally once daily, with or without food, for 14 consecutive days followed by 7 days off therapy in 21-day cycles until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity



FIGHT-202 Final Results: Best Percent Change from Baseline in Target Lesion Size in Cohort A

 Among 104 evaluable patients, median best percentage change from baseline in the sum of target lesion diameters was –28.4% (range, –100% to +55%)



Lower limit of blue shading indicates criterion for partial response (≥30% decrease in sum of target lesion diameters).



Vogel A et al. ESMO World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer 2022; Abstract O-2.

Efficacy of FDA-Approved FGFR Inhibitors for FGFR2 Fusion-Positive Cholangiocarcinoma

	Pemigatinib (N = 107)	Futibatinib (N = 67)
ORR	37.0%	42.0%
Disease control rate	82.0%	83.0%
Median progression-free survival	7.0 mo	9.0 mo
Median overall survival	17.5 mo	21.7 mo
Toxicities	Hyperphosphatemia, Alopecia, Diarrhea	Hyperphosphatemia, Diarrhea, Dry mouth



Agenda

Introduction

Part 1: Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Part 2: Biliary Tract Cancers (BTCs)

- Immunotherapy in the Management of Advanced BTCs
- Biomarker Testing Recommendations and the Use of FGFR Inhibitors for Advanced Cholangiocarcinoma
- Potential Role of HER2-Targeted Therapy for BTCs





The Potential Role of HER2-Targeted Therapy for BTCs



Dr Stein New Haven, Connecticut

Dr Yarchoan Baltimore, Maryland

- Incidence of HER2 overexpression in patients with BTCs
- Mechanism of action of the novel HER2-targeted bispecific antibody zanidatamab
- Recent pivotal trial findings with zanidatamab for previously treated advanced or metastatic HER2-positive BTCs
- Key efficacy and safety findings with trastuzumab deruxtecan for patients with previously treated HER2-positive BTCs
- Current off-protocol and potential future role of HER2-targeted therapy for advanced BTCs





What I tell my patients with advanced BTC about the importance of HER2 testing and potential therapy with trastuzumab deruxtecan



FDA Grants Accelerated Approval to Trastuzumab Deruxtecan for Unresectable or Metastatic HER2-Positive Solid Tumors Press Release – April 5, 2024

"On April 5, 2024, the Food and Drug Administration granted accelerated approval to fam-trastuzumab deruxtecan-nxki for adult patients with unresectable or metastatic HER2-positive (IHC3+) solid tumors who have received prior systemic treatment and have no satisfactory alternative treatment options. Efficacy was evaluated in 192 adult patients with previously treated unresectable or metastatic HER2-positive (IHC 3+) solid tumors who were enrolled in one of three multicenter trials: DESTINY-PanTumor02 (NCT04482309), DESTINY-Lung01 (NCT03505710), and DESTINY-CRC02 (NCT04744831). All three trials excluded patients with a history of interstitial lung disease (ILD)/pneumonitis requiring treatment with steroids or ILD/pneumonitis at screening and clinically significant cardiac disease. Patients were also excluded for active brain metastases or ECOG performance status >1. Treatment was administered until disease progression, death, withdrawal of consent, or unacceptable toxicity.

The recommended fam-trastuzumab deruxtecan-nxki dosage for this indication is 5.4 mg/kg given as an intravenous infusion once every 3 weeks (21-day cycle) until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. This tumor agnostic indication is approved under accelerated approval based on objective response rate and duration of response. Continued approval for this indication may be contingent upon verification and description of clinical benefit in a confirmatory trial(s)."

Trastuzumab Deruxtecan

Mechanism of action

Antibody-drug conjugate directed against HER2

Indication

 Unresectable or metastatic HER2-positive (IHC 3+) solid tumors who have received prior systemic treatment and have no satisfactory alternative treatment options

Key clinical trial

 Phase II DESTINY-PanTumor02 trial evaluating trastuzumab deruxtecan in patients with HER2-expressing solid tumors



APPENDIX



Mechanism of Action of Sorafenib





Sorafenib

Mechanism of action

Oral multikinase inhibitor

Indication

• For patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma

Recommended dose

400 mg orally BID without food



Mechanism of Action of Lenvatinib



- Orally available inhibitor of multiple tyrosine kinases, including VEGF receptors, FGFR, RET, PDGFR and KIT
- Demonstrated promising radiographic response rates and survival results in Phase II and III trials in HCC


Lenvatinib

Mechanism of action

• Oral multikinase inhibitor

Indication

• As first-line therapy for patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma

Recommended dose

- 12 mg orally once daily for patients of 60 kg or greater actual body weight
- 8 mg orally once daily for patients of less than 60 kg actual body weight



Mechanism of Action of Regorafenib





Cremolini C et al. *Future Med* 2013;2(5):411-17.

Regorafenib

Mechanism of action

Oral multikinase inhibitor

Indication

For patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who have previously received sorafenib

Recommended dose

• 160 mg orally once daily for the first 21 days of each 28-day cycle



Mechanism of Action of Cabozantinib



Cell survival, migration, invasion, proliferation

Cabozantinib provides dual inhibition of MET and VEGFR-2, thereby preventing the MET pathway from acting as an alternative pathway in the development of VEGF



Cabozantinib

Mechanism of action

Oral multikinase inhibitor

Indication

For patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who have previously received sorafenib

Recommended dose

 60 mg once daily without food until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity



Mechanism of Action of Ramucirumab





Ramucirumab

Mechanism of action

• Anti-VEGFR-2 monoclonal antibody

Indication

 For patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who have an alpha fetoprotein level of ≥400 ng/mL and have previously received sorafenib

Recommended dose

• 8 mg/kg IV infusion every 2 weeks



Pembrolizumab Mechanism of Action





Reck M. Immunotherapy 2017;10(2):93-105.

FGFR2 Inhibition



FDA Grants Accelerated Approval to Futibatinib for Cholangiocarcinoma Press Release – September 30, 2022

"...the Food and Drug Administration granted accelerated approval to futibatinib for adult patients with previously treated, unresectable, locally advanced or metastatic intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma harboring fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2) gene fusions or other rearrangements.

Efficacy was evaluated in TAS-120-101 (NCT02052778), a multicenter, open-label, single-arm trial that enrolled 103 patients with previously treated, unresectable, locally advanced, or metastatic intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma harboring a FGFR2 gene fusion or other rearrangement. The presence of FGFR2 fusions or other rearrangements was determined using next generation sequencing testing. Patients received 20 mg of futibatinib orally once daily until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.

The major efficacy outcome measures were overall response rate (ORR) and duration of response (DoR) as determined by an independent review committee according to RECIST v1.1. ORR was 42% (95% Confidence Interval [CI]: 32, 52); all 43 responders achieved partial responses. The median DoR was 9.7 months (95% CI: 7.6, 17.1)."

https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-futibatinib-cholangiocarcinoma

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Futibatinib for FGFR2-Rearranged Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma

L. Goyal, F. Meric-Bernstam, A. Hollebecque, J.W. Valle, C. Morizane, T.B. Karasic, T.A. Abrams, J. Furuse, R.K. Kelley, P.A. Cassier, H.-J. Klümpen, H.-M. Chang, L.-T. Chen, J. Tabernero, D.-Y. Oh, A. Mahipal, M. Moehler, E.P. Mitchell, Y. Komatsu, K. Masuda, D. Ahn, R.S. Epstein, A.-B. Halim, Y. Fu, T. Salimi, V. Wacheck, Y. He, M. Liu, K.A. Benhadji, and J.A. Bridgewater, for the FOENIX-CCA2 Study Investigators*

N Engl J Med 2023;388;228-39.



FOENIX-CCA2 (TAS-120-101): Phase II Study Design



- At the time of the final data cutoff (May 29, 2021), median follow-up was 25.0 months, and 96/103 patients (93%) had discontinued treatment
- The median number of treatment cycles was 13.0, for a median treatment duration of 9.1 months



Goyal L et al. ASCO 2022; Abstract 4009.

FOENIX-CCA2: Select Treatment-Related Adverse Events with Futibatinib for Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma

	All Patients (N = 103)					
Event (%)	Any Grade	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	
Any adverse event	99	8	34	56	1	
Hyperphosphatemia	85	10	46	30	0	
Dry mouth	30	27	3	0	0	
Palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome	21	3	14	5	0	
Increased aspartate aminotransferase level	18	11	1	7	0	
Increased alanine aminotransferase level	15	5	5	4	1	



Goyal L et al. *N Engl J Med* 2023;388;228-39.

FDA Grants Accelerated Approval to Pemigatinib for Cholangiocarcinoma with an FGFR2 Rearrangement or Fusion Press Release – April 17, 2020

"...the Food and Drug Administration granted accelerated approval to pemigatinib for the treatment of adults with previously treated, unresectable locally advanced or metastatic cholangiocarcinoma with a fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2) fusion or other rearrangement as detected by an FDA-approved test.

The FDA also approved the FoundationOne[®] CDX as a companion diagnostic for patient selection.

Efficacy was investigated in FIGHT-202 (NCT02924376), a multicenter open-label single-arm trial, in 107 patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic cholangiocarcinoma whose disease had progressed on or after at least one prior therapy and had an FGFR2 gene fusion or rearrangement (clinical trial assay performed at a central laboratory). Patients received pemigatinib, 13.5 mg orally, once daily for 14 consecutive days, followed by 7 days off therapy.

The major efficacy outcome measures were overall response rate (ORR) and duration of response (DOR) determined by an independent review committee using RECIST 1.1. Among the 107 patients, the ORR was 36% (95% CI: 27%, 45%), including 3 complete responses. The median DOR was 9.1 months with responses lasting ≥ 6 months in 24 of the 38 (63%) responding patients and ≥ 12 months in 7 (18%) patients."

https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-pemigatinib-cholangiocarcinoma-fgfr2-rearrangement-or-fusion





2022 | Abstract O-2

O-2

#575

Presented at the 2022 ESMO World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer; 29 June-2 July, 2022; Barcelona, Spain

Pemigatinib for Previously Treated Locally Advanced or Metastatic Cholangiocarcinoma: Final Results From FIGHT-202

Arndt Vogel, MD

<u>Arndt Vogel, MD,¹</u> Vaibhav Sahai, MBBS, MS,² Antoine Hollebecque, MD,³ Gina M. Vaccaro, MD,⁴ Davide Melisi, MD, PhD,⁵ Raed M. Al Rajabi, MD,⁶ Andrew S. Paulson, MD,⁷ Mitesh J. Borad, MD,⁸ David Gallinson, DO,⁹ Adrian G. Murphy, MD,¹⁰ Do-Youn Oh, MD, PhD,¹¹ Efrat Dotan, MD,¹² Daniel V. Catenacci, MD,¹³ Eric Van Cutsem, MD, PhD,¹⁴ Christine F. Lihou, BS,¹⁵ Huiling Zhen, PhD,¹⁵ Luisa Veronese, MD,¹⁶ Ghassan K. Abou-Alfa, MD¹⁷



FIGHT-202 Trial Schema



- Primary endpoint: ORR[§] in cohort A (confirmed by independent central review)
- Secondary endpoints: ORR[§] in cohorts A/B combined, B, and C; DOR/DCR/PFS/OS/safety in all cohorts

CCA, cholangiocarcinoma; DCR, disease control rate; DOR, duration of response; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; qd, once daily.

*Patients prescreened for *FGF/FGFR* status, documented either centrally (FoundationOne[®], Foundation Medicine), based on local assessment, or an existing Foundation Medicine report. Retrospective central confirmation of locally documented *FGF/FGFR* status was required. [†]United States only. [‡]The efficacy population included all patients with centrally confirmed *FGF/FGFR* status who received \geq 1 pemigatinib dose; the safety population included all patients who received \geq 1 pemigatinib dose; the safety population included all patients who received \geq 1 pemigatinib dose; the safety population included all patients who received \geq 1 pemigatinib dose; determined of patients with complete response (disappearance of all target lesions) or partial response (\geq 30% decrease in sum of the longest diameters of target lesions).



FIGHT-202 Final Results: Response to Pemigatinib

Parameter	Cohort A (n=108)	Cohort B (n=20)	Cohort C (n=17)
Duration of follow-up, median (range), mo	42.9 (19.9–52.2)	47.5 (43.7–51.1)	51.9 (49.5–53.7)
ORR,* % (95% CI)	37 (28, 47)	0 (0, 17)	0 (0, 20)
DCR, [†] % (95% CI)	82 (74, 89)	40 (19, 64)	18 (4, 43)
Best overall response, %			
Complete response	3	0	0
Partial response	34	0	0
Stable disease	45	40	18
Progressive disease	15	35	65
Not evaluable	3	25	18
DOR, median (95% CI), mo	9.1 (6.0, 14.5)		_

DCR, disease control rate; DOR, duration of response; ORR, objective response rate.

*ORR is complete response + partial response; [†]DCR is complete response + partial response + stable disease.



Vogel A et al. ESMO World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer 2022; Abstract O-2.

FIGHT-202 Final Results: PFS and OS in All Patients

PFS





 Median PFS in cohort A was 7.0 months (95% CI: 6.1, 10.5) Median OS in cohort A was 17.5 months (95% CI: 14.4, 22.9)



Vogel A et al. ESMO World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer 2022; Abstract O-2.

FIGHT-202: TEAEs Occurring in ≥25% of Patients

	Coh (n=	ort A 108)	Cohort B (n=20)		Cohort C (n=17)		Total (N=147)*	
	All		All		All		All	
Event	Grades	Grade ≥3	Grades	Grade ≥3	Grades	Grade ≥3	Grades	Grade ≥3
Any TEAE, %	1 <mark>0</mark> 0	67	100	75	100	76	100	69
Hyperphosphatemia	56	0	65	0	71	0	59	0
Alopecia	59	0	20	0	18	0	50	0
Diarrhoea	54	4	25	0	35	6	48	3
Fatigue	46	5	25	0	53	18	44	5
Nausea	43	3	35	0	41	0	41	2
Stomatitis	43	9	30	0	18	0	38	7
Constipation	43	1	25	0	12	0	37	1
Dysgeusia	42	0	15	0	18	0	36	0
Decreased appetite	31	1	40	5	41	6	34	2
Dry mouth	39	0	25	0	6	0	34	0
Arthralgia	34	6	25	10	12	0	30	6
Vomiting	33	2	15	0	24	0	29	1
Dry eye	35	0	5	0	6	0	28	1

• The safety profile remained consistent with the primary publication¹; no new safety signals were observed

TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

*The total includes 2 patients for whom *FGF/FGFR* status could not be centrally determined; the 2 patients were not assigned to a cohort and were evaluated for safety but not for efficacy. 1. Abou-Alfa GH, et al. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020;21(5):671-684.



Lancet Gasteroenterol Hepatol 2021;6(10):803-15.

Articles

Infigratinib (BGJ398) in previously treated patients with advanced or metastatic cholangiocarcinoma with FGFR2 fusions or rearrangements: mature results from a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 study

Milind Javle, Sameek Roychowdhury, Robin Kate Kelley, Saeed Sadeghi, Teresa Macarulla, Karl Heinz Weiss, Dirk-Thomas Waldschmidt, Lipika Goyal, Ivan Borbath, Anthony El-Khoueiry, Mitesh J Borad, Wei Peng Yong, Philip A Philip, Michael Bitzer, Surbpong Tanasanvimon, Ai Li, Amit Pande, Harris S Soifer, Stacie Peacock Shepherd, Susan Moran, Andrew X Zhu, Tanios S Bekaii-Saab, Ghassan K Abou-Alfa



Phase II Study of Infigratinib in Advanced or Metastatic Cholangiocarcinoma Harboring FGFR2 Fusions or Rearrangements





Javle M et al. Lancet Gasteroenterol Hepatol 2021;6(10):803-15.

Infigratinib for Advanced or Metastatic Cholangiocarcinoma: Adverse Events in ≥20% of Patients

	Treatment-emergent adverse events					Treatment-related adverse events of any grade
	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Any grade	
Any adverse event	8 (7%)	29 (27%)	61 (56%)	9 (8%)	107 (99%)	104 (96%)
Hyperphosphataemia	37 (34%)	35 (32%)	11 (10%)	0	83 (77%)	80 (74%)
Stomatitis	29 (27%)	14 (13%)	16 (15%)	0	59 (55%)	55 (51%)
Fatigue	21 (19%)	18 (17%)	4 (4%)	0	43 (40%)	31 (29%)
Alopecia	34 (31%)	7 (6%)	0	0	41 (38%)	35 (32%)
Dry eye	25 (23%)	11 (10%)	1 (1%)	0	37 (34%)	34 (31%)
Palmar-plantar erythrodysaesthesia syndrome	11 (10%)	18 (17%)	7 (6%)	0	36 (33%)	35 (32%)
Arthralgia	22 (20%)	12 (11%)	0	0	34 (31%)	31 (29%)
Dysgeusia	27 (25%)	7 (6%)	0	0	34 (31%)	28 (26%)
Constipation	22 (20%)	9 (8%)	1 (1%)	0	32 (30%)	10 (9%)
Dry mouth	24 (22%)	3 (3%)	0	0	27 (25%)	23 (21%)
Hypercalcaemia	13 (12%)	8 (7%)	5 (5%)	1 (1%)	27 (25%)	17 (16%)
Blood creatinine concentration increased	19 (18%)	7 (6%)	0	0	26 (24%)	17 (16%)
Diarrhoea	17 (16%)	6 (6%)	3 (3%)	0	26 (24%)	19 (18%)
Dry skin	23 (21%)	2 (2%)	0	0	25 (23%)	22 (20%)
Decreased appetite	16 (15%)	7 (6%)	1 (1%)	0	24 (22%)	16 (15%)
Hypophosphataemia	6 (6%)	4 (4%)	13 (12%)	1(1%)	24 (22%)	10 (9%)
Blurred vision	13 (12%)	10 (9%)	0	0	23 (21%)	20 (19%)
AST concentration increased	18 (17%)	3 (3%)	2 (2%)	0	23 (21%)	10 (9%)
Vomiting	16 (15%)	6 (6%)	1(1%)	0	23 (21%)	14 (13%)

Javle M et al. Lancet Gasteroenterol Hepatol 2021;6(10):803-15.



Trastuzumab Deruxtecan (T-DXd)









Efficacy and safety of trastuzumab deruxtecan in patients with HER2-expressing solid tumors: DESTINY-PanTumor02 interim results

Funda Meric-Bernstam

The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

June 5, 2023

Additional authors: Vicky Makker, Ana Oaknin, Do-Yo Kyung Hae Jung, Iwona Ługowska, Luis Manso, Arár Daniil Stroyakovskiy, Chiedozie Anoka, Yan Ma, Soha





J Clin Oncol 2024;42(1):47-58

Original Reports | Gynecologic Cancer

[®]Efficacy and Safety of Trastuzumab Deruxtecan in Patients With HER2-Expressing Solid Tumors: Primary Results From the DESTINY-PanTumor02 Phase II Trial

Funda Meric-Bernstam, MD¹ (D); Vicky Makker, MD^{2,3} (D); Ana Oaknin, MD⁴ (D); Do-Youn Oh, MD⁵ (D); Susana Banerjee, PhD⁶ (D); Antonio González-Martín, MD⁷ (D); Kyung Hae Jung, MD⁸ (D); Iwona Ługowska, MD⁹; Luis Manso, MD¹⁰ (D); Aránzazu Manzano, MD¹¹; Bohuslav Melichar, MD¹²; Salvatore Siena, MD¹³ (D); Daniil Stroyakovskiy, MD¹⁴ (D); Anitra Fielding, MBChB¹⁵; Yan Ma, MSc¹⁶; Soham Puvvada, MD¹⁵; Norah Shire, PhD¹⁵; and Jung-Yun Lee, MD¹⁷ (D)



DESTINY-PanTumor02: Phase II Basket Trial Schema

An open-label, multicenter study (NCT04482309)

- Advanced solid tumors not eligible for curative therapy
- 2L+ patient population
- HER2 expression (IHC 3+ or 2+)
 - Local test or central test by HercepTest if local test not feasible (ASCO/CAP gastric cancer guidelines¹)^a
- Prior HER2-targeting therapy allowed
- ECOG/WHO PS 0–1





DESTINY-PanTumor02: Objective Response Rate by HER2 Status





Meric-Bernstam F et al. ASCO 2023; Abstract LBA3000; Meric-Bernstam F et al. J Clin Oncol 2024; 42(1): 47-58.

DESTINY-PanTumor02: Duration of Response





Meric-Bernstam F et al. J Clin Oncol 2024;42(1):47-58.

Future Oncol 2022 Jun;18(19):2351-60



Multicenter phase II trial of trastuzumab deruxtecan for HER2-positive unresectable or recurrent biliary tract cancer: HERB trial

Akihiro Ohba¹, Chigusa Morizane^{*,1}, Makoto Ueno², Satoshi Kobayashi², Yasuyuki Kawamoto³, Yoshito Komatsu⁴, Masafumi Ikeda⁵, Mitsuhito Sasaki⁵, Naohiro Okano⁶, Junji Furuse⁶, Nobuyoshi Hiraoka⁷, Hiroshi Yoshida⁷, Aya Kuchiba⁸, Ryo Sadachi⁸, Kenichi Nakamura⁹, Naoko Matsui⁹, Yoshiaki Nakamura¹⁰, Wataru Okamoto¹¹, Takayuki Yoshino¹⁰ & Takuji Okusaka¹

2022 ASCO

Abstract 4006

Trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd; DS-8201) in patients (pts) with HER2-expressing unresectable or recurrent biliary tract cancer (BTC): An investigator-initiated multicenter phase 2 study (HERB trial)

<u>Akihiro Ohba</u>¹, Chigusa Morizane¹, Yasuyuki Kawamoto², Yoshito Komatsu², Makoto Ueno³, Satoshi Kobayashi³, Masafumi Ikeda⁴, Mitsuhito Sasaki⁴, Junji Furuse⁵, Naohiro Okano⁵, Nobuyoshi Hiraoka¹, Hiroshi Yoshida¹, Aya Kuchiba¹, Ryo Sadachi¹, Kenichi Nakamura¹, Naoko Matsui¹, Yoshiaki Nakamura⁴, Wataru Okamoto⁶, Takayuki Yoshino⁴, Takuji Okusaka¹

¹National Cancer Center Hospital, ²Hokkaido University Hospital, ³Kanagawa Cancer Center, ⁴National Cancer Center Hospital East, ⁵Kyorin University Faculty of Medicine, ⁶Hiroshima University Hospital



HERB: A Phase II Study of Trastuzumab Deruxtecan (T-DXd) for HER2-Expressing Biliary Tract Cancer





Ohba A et al. Future Oncol 2022 Jun;18(19):2351-60; ASCO 2022; Abstract 4006.

HERB Primary Endpoint: Confirmed Objective Response Rate by BICR with T-DXd in BTC





HERB Secondary Endpoints: Progression-Free Survival (PFS) and Overall Survival (OS) with T-DXd for BTC

	HER2-positive disease (n = 22)	HER2-low expressing disease (n = 8)
Median PFS	5.1 mo	3.5 mo
6-month PFS rate	40.9%	0
Median OS	7.1 mo	8.9 mo
6-month OS rate	63.6%	75.0%



HERB: Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events with T-DXd in BTC

Event	Any grade, n (%)	Grade ≥ 3, n (%)
Anemia	22 (68.8)	17 (53.1)
Neutrophil count decreased	18 (56.3)	10 (31.3)
White blood cell count decreased	18 (56.3)	10 (31.3)
Platelet count decreased	14 (43.8)	3 (9.4)
Nausea	14 (43.8)	0 (0)
Alopecia	13 (40.6)	0 (0)
Anorexia	12 (37.5)	1 (3.1)
Lymphocyte count decreased	11 (34.4)	7 (21.9)
Fatigue / Malaise	11 (34.4)	0 (0)
Interstitial lung disease / Pneumonitis	8 (25.0)	4 (12.5)
Hypoalbuminemia	7 (21.9)	1 (3.1)
Vomiting	7 (21.9)	0 (0)
Mucositis oral	5 (15.6)	0 (0)



HERB: Interstitial Lung Disease/Pneumonitis with T-DXd in BTC

	ILDs (n=8)*
Grade, n (%) 1 2 3 5	3 (37.5) 1 (12.5) 2 (25.0) 2 (25.0)
Median time to onset (range), days	124 (35–247)**
Median Age (range), years	73 (51–75)
Sex, female, n (%)	3 (37.5)
Number of prior regimens, n (%) 1 ≥ 2	4 (50.0) 4 (50.0)
HER2 status of IHC/ISH, n (%) 3+/+ 2+/+	5 (62.5) 3 (37.5)
Lung metastasis, n (%)	3 (37.5)
Smoking history, n (%)	3 (37.5)
Biliary drainage, n (%)	4 (50.0)



Ohba A et al. ASCO 2022; Abstract 4006.

What I Tell My Patients: Integrating New Research Information into Current Clinical Care

A Complimentary NCPD Hybrid Symposium Series Held During the 49th Annual ONS Congress

Hepatobiliary Cancers

Saturday, April 27, 2024 6:00 AM – 7:30 AM

Faculty

Blanca Ledezma, MSN, NP, AOCNP Stacey Stein, MD Amanda K Wagner, APRN-CNP, AOCNP Mark Yarchoan, MD Moderator Neil Love, MD



What I Tell My Patients: Integrating New Research Information into Current Clinical Care

A Complimentary NCPD Hybrid Symposium Series Held During the 49th Annual ONS Congress

Myelofibrosis

Saturday, April 27, 2024 12:15 PM – 1:45 PM

Faculty Ilene Galinsky, NP Andrew T Kuykendall, MD Sara M Tinsley-Vance, PhD, APRN, AOCN Abdulraheem Yacoub, MD Moderator Neil Love, MD



Thank you for joining us! Please take a moment to complete the survey currently up on Zoom. Your feedback is very important to us. The survey will remain open up to 5 minutes after the meeting ends.

To Claim NCPD Credit In-person attendees: Please refer to the program syllabus for the NCPD credit link or QR code.

Virtual attendees: The NCPD credit link is posted in the chat room.

NCPD/ONCC credit information will be emailed to each participant within 1 to 2 business days.

